

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/308,408 06/28/99 **FELLINGER** Α FLA-0010 **EXAMINER** QM12/1122 JANE MASSEY LICATA LUONG, S LAW OFFICES OF JANE MASSEY LICATA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 66 E MAIN STREET MARLTON NJ 08053 3728 DATE MAILED: 11/22/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/308,408

on No. Applicant(s)

Fellinger

Examiner

Shian Luong

Group Art Unit 3728



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 26, 2000	
X This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for f in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (ormal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
X All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of t	the priority documents have been
🛛 received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	per)
\square received in this national stage application from the In	iternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notes	s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SFF OFFICE ACTION ON TH	F FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 09/308,408

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for 1.

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. Claims 18 and 20-21 are indefinite and inaccurate. Applicant first claims a flexible

base film and a flexible covering film in claim 18 and then further define that each chamber

comprise two foils which are integrally bonded with each other. It is unclear if the foils are the

base and the covering films. Also, if the foils are indeed the films, then it cannot be a polyamide,

polyethylene or a sterilization paper because a foil contains metal characteristic and does not have

plastic or paper in the foil. For the purpose of this Office Action, the foils are considered as a

thin, flexible leaf or sheet of metal. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness 2.

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-21 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3.

Zackheim (US 3,162,306) in view of Moser et al (US 3,941,248). Zackheim discloses a package

for medical pads comprising an envelope formed by sheet 31 and a lid 34. A plurality of

Serial Number: 09/308,408 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

compartments are formed based on the number and size of the medical pads. A breaking groove is formed on the laminate to allow a user to break through the lid 34. Zackheim discloses most of the limitations of the claims, except for the foil material. However, Moser et al disclose a roll of blister pack formed by foil base and foil lid. The user can push through the lid to reach the content. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use foil material for the package of Zackheim to prevent bacterial buildup in the groove area and therefore prevents infection.

Claims 16-21 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reid (US 4,574,954) in view of Moser et al. Reid discloses a lid sheet 16 covering a polyethylene sheet 11 with a plurality of depressions for holding articles therein. Since the sheets are flexible, the user pushes through both sheets to obtain the article. Reid discloses all of the limitations of the claims, except for the foil material on the base. However, Moser et al disclose a roll of blister pack formed by foil base and foil lid. The user can push through the lid to reach the content. Therefore, it would have been obvious in view of Moser et al to use foil material for the base of Reid to prevent excess moisture buildup.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/26/2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Moser et al teach that it is only possible to release the product if the chambers are severed from the entire package first. Although Moser et al teach one particular method of removing the content from the package, he also suggests the use of two foils

Serial Number: 09/308,408

Art Unit: 3728

to form the package. The foils are thin and therefore maybe punched or forced through either by finger pressure or a pin on either the base or the cover foil. Moreover, applicant's limitation of "the flexible cover foil is torn inward" is merely an intended use statement while the structural limitations is met by the combination of Zackheim with Moser et al or Reid with Moser et al.

Applicant also argues that Reid teaches opening the compartment by pressing on the bubble portion 18 and not pressing through the cover foil. However, both the bubble portion 18 and the film portion 16 are made out of flexible materials. A user can simply choose to break open the compartment by applying finger pressure on the covering film 16 instead of the bubble portion 18. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office 6. action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Serial Number: 09/308,408

Art Unit: 3728

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by 7. persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners, M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Valerie Douglas at (703)308-1337.

For applicant's convenience, the Group Technological Center FAX number is (703) 305-3579 or (703)305-3580. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO

Serial Number: 09/308,408

Art Unit: 3728

deposit account. Please identify Examiner Luong of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

Inquiries concerning the merits of the examination should be directed to Shian Luong whose telephone number is (703) 308-2039.

STL

November 20, 2000